

Soy Intake and Risk of Chronic Disease.

By Dr. Mark Messina.

During the past 10 years the soybean has been the subject of intense investigation for its potential role in the prevention and even treatment of a variety of chronic diseases. In addition, there is much interest in soyfoods serving as alternatives to conventional hormone replacement therapy (HRT). One gauge by which to judge the scientific interest in soy is to consider the increase in U.S. government funding over the past decade. In 1990, only 9 studies that focused on soy in some capacity were funded; in 2002, that number had increased to 92.

Thus far, not surprisingly, large multi-year, multi-center randomized clinical trials involving soy that look at disease events or outcomes (eg., heart attacks, coronary deaths) have not been conducted. The complexity and expense of these types of trials dramatically limit the number of such studies that can be undertaken. Consequently, assessments of the health benefits of soy are based on a variety of other types of investigations, including animal and epidemiologic studies, and relatively short-term clinical trials that utilize indicators or markers of disease, such as serum cholesterol levels, bone mineral density, and serum prostate specific antigen levels.

These types of evidence allow one to evaluate support for a given hypothesis but do not permit definitive conclusions to be drawn. Dietary recommendations from well respected health organizations, such as the American Cancer Society and the American Heart Association, are primarily based on these kinds of data. The objective of this article is to summarize the relative level of scientific support that exists for protective effects of soy in four specific areas—coronary heart disease, osteoporosis, breast cancer and prostate cancer.

Coronary Heart Disease.

Coronary heart disease (CHD) remains the nation's number one killer. According to the American Heart Association, it is estimated that nearly 13,000,000 Americans have CHD, and that more than 500,000 Americans die from this disease each year.¹ Risk factors include age, obesity, smoking, high blood pressure, and high serum cholesterol levels.

The cholesterol-lowering effects of soy protein were first demonstrated in humans in 1967.² By 1995, nearly 40 clinical trials had been conducted but this body of research was largely overlooked until Anderson and colleagues published a meta-analysis in that year on the hypocholesterolemic effects of soy protein.³ Trials included in this meta-analysis formed the bulk of the research upon which the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) based their decision in 1999 to award a health claim for soy protein.⁴ One year later the American Heart Association endorsed the use of soyfoods for people with elevated cholesterol⁵ and in 2002, a health claim for soy protein was approved in the United Kingdom. The hypocholesterolemic effects of soy protein are due to the protein itself, and not because soyfoods are low in saturated fat and cholesterol free.

The meta-analysis cited above found that the average reduction in low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was 12.9%³ but new data suggest a more likely reduction is about 4-5%.⁶ The reduction in cholesterol in response to soy protein has clinically relevant public health implications as each 1% reduction in cholesterol reduces CHD risk by as much as 3-4%.⁷⁻⁹ Furthermore, soy protein also appears to modestly raise high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (HDL-C).⁶ The authors of a recent meta-analysis of the effects of soy protein on cholesterol estimated that modest reduction and increase in LDL-C and HDL-C respectively, would reduce CHD by 20%. Also, Jenkins and colleagues recently demonstrated that soy protein in combination with other dietary measures for lowering cholesterol such as phytosterols and soluble fiber can produce effects equal to cholesterol lowering drugs.¹⁰

The FDA concluded that the amount of soy protein needed for cholesterol reduction is 25 grams/day. By comparison, average Japanese soy protein intake is about 9-11 grams per day.^{11,12} The National Cholesterol Education Program acknowledged a beneficial role for soyfoods in replacing foods high in saturated fat and cholesterol, but did not make a specific recommendation for soy protein intake, citing uncertainty regarding the amount needed to reduce cholesterol levels. Messina recently suggested that fewer than 25 grams of soy protein/day may be needed for cholesterol reduction.¹³

In addition to the hypocholesterolemic effects of soy protein, several recent studies have found that soy protein is hypotensive.¹⁴

A recent review concluded that two-thirds of the randomized clinical trials showed reductions in blood pressure in response to soyfoods consumption, with the most impressive results being found in the better controlled trials and in people with hypertension.¹⁵ While much more research is needed before definitive conclusions can be reached, the potential public health benefits should not be underestimated since lowering systolic blood pressure by 2-5 mm Hg may reduce stroke and CHD disease by 6 to 14% and 5 to 9%, respectively.¹⁶

In addition to soy protein, soybean isoflavones may have coronary benefits. Soybeans are essentially a unique dietary source of isoflavones, a group of diphenolic phytochemicals commonly referred to as phytoestrogens because of their weak estrogen-like effects.^{17, 18} The two main isoflavones in soybeans are genistein and daidzein.¹⁹ Considerable data suggest isoflavones are selective estrogen receptor modulators such as the drugs tamoxifen and raloxifene.^{20, 21} That is, they exert estrogen-like effects in some tissues, but either no effects or antiestrogenic effects in others. However, isoflavones also have potentially important non-hormonal effects.²²

Some data suggest that isoflavones have direct beneficial effects on blood vessels. This may prove to be as important as the cholesterol lowering effects of soy protein for reducing risk of CHD. Three studies found isolated isoflavones enhanced systemic arterial compliance (SAC).²³⁻²⁵ SAC, which refers to the elasticity of the blood vessels, and can be non-invasively measured, is thought to be an independent predictor of CHD.²⁶ In addition to the possible effects of isoflavones on SAC, research suggests isoflavones may favorably affect endothelial function. For example, a recently conducted six-month trial conducted in Italy found that supplements of the genistein taken by postmenopausal women greatly enhanced flow mediated dilation (FMD), which is controlled by the endothelium, the single layer of cells on the inner side of blood vessels.²⁷ Impaired endothelial function is thought to contribute to atherosclerosis.^{28, 29} Isoflavone-rich soy protein has also been found to enhance FMD.³⁰ However, other studies have not found soy protein or isoflavones to affect FMD.^{15, 31}

Finally, some data suggest that isoflavone-rich soy protein inhibits LDL-cholesterol oxidation³²⁻³⁴ and favorably affects other CHD risk factors^{35, 36} but thus far studies have been inconsistent in this regard.

In support of the multiple coronary benefits of soy are results from a prospective study involving over 64,000 Chinese women.³⁷ In this study, women who consumed at least 11.19 grams soy protein per day were 86% less likely to experience a non-fatal myocardial infarction in comparison to women who consumed fewer than 4.5 grams per day.

Osteoporosis.

Rates of hip fracture are higher in developed countries than in non-developed countries, although the extent to which diet accounts for this difference is unclear.³⁸ Osteoporosis afflicts an estimated 25 million people in the United States and accounts for 1.5 million new fractures each year. It is estimated that osteoporosis will cost society an estimated \$60 billion by the year 2020.^{39, 40} On average, men develop fractures approximately 5 years later than women.⁴¹ At menopause, women undergo an accelerated rate of bone loss that is most apparent over the subsequent decade and accounts for cancellous bone losses of 20-30% and cortical bone losses of 5-10%.⁴² Osteoporosis risk factors include smoking, underweight, low intakes of vitamin D and calcium, and lack of exercise.^{43, 44}

The low rates of hip fracture in soyfood-consuming countries prompted speculation that isoflavone-rich soy protein and isolated isoflavones may promote bone health through their estrogen-like effects. However, the non-hormonal effects of isoflavones may also be relevant. It should be noted though that while hip fracture rates in Asia are low relative to the West, several explanations unrelated to dietary intake have been proposed for this lower rate.⁴⁵ Furthermore, the rate of spinal fracture in Asia is similar to the West.⁴⁶ More relevant than the gross ecologic comparisons between Asian and non-Asian countries are epidemiologic studies within Asia that have found higher bone mineral density in women who consume above average amounts of soy compared to those who consume average amounts of soy or less.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹

Quite a few studies published within the past few years have examined the effect of isolated isoflavones and isoflavone-rich soy protein on BMD using ovariectomized rodents, a model accepted by the US FDA for studying osteoporosis.⁵⁰ With few exceptions, these studies show that isoflavone exposure reduces bone loss.⁴⁶

The first human trial that provided insight into the possible skeletal benefits of isoflavones was published by Potter et al in 1998.⁵¹ In this six month study, postmenopausal women consumed 40 grams of protein either as non-fat dried milk, isolated soy protein (ISP) containing 56 mg isoflavones, or isolated soy protein containing 90 mg of isoflavones. Those consuming the 90 mg of isoflavones experienced an increase in spinal BMD whereas women consuming non-fat dried milk lost BMD. The effects in women consuming 56 mg isoflavones were intermediate between those of the other two groups. Similarly, St. Germain et al found in a nine month trial that isoflavone-rich ISP retarded spinal bone loss in perimenopausal women compared to those women fed either ISP devoid of isoflavones or whey protein.⁵²

Research using isolated soy isoflavones is limited, but results suggest that they may be protective in postmenopausal^{53, 54} but not premenopausal women.⁵⁵ One study found that over the course of one year, isolated genistein was actually as effective as conventional HRT at increasing BMD at the hip and spine in postmenopausal women.⁵⁶ Women in the placebo group lost BMD at both sites. These findings are important because they suggest that soy isoflavones may be an efficacious alternative to HRT for improving BMD.⁵⁷

A two-year trial involving postmenopausal women found isoflavone-rich ISP improved spinal BMD compared to ISP low in isoflavones.⁵⁸ This trial, although it involved relatively few subjects, is important because it is sufficiently long to demonstrate the likely long-term changes in BMD.⁵⁹ Presently, several multi-year, multi-center, trials involving isoflavones are underway. While the estrogen-like effects of isoflavones provide a mechanism by which soy can promote bone health, non-hormonal effects of isoflavones may also play a role.

Of potential equal importance, are studies showing that soy protein when substituted for animal protein may have a beneficial effect on the skeletal system that is independent of isoflavones.

The relationship between dietary protein intake and bone health is complex. Protein increases loss of calcium in the urine and this was once thought to explain why higher dietary protein intakes, especially of animal protein, were associated with increased fracture risk in some populations.⁶⁰⁻⁶²

However, several recent epidemiologic studies have found higher protein intakes to be protective for bone health.^{63, 64} The inconsistent results may have a physiological basis.

Protein is an important structural component of bone; thus, adequate protein is needed for optimal bone health.⁶⁵ Also, some studies suggest that high quality proteins, such as soy, increase serum levels of insulin-like growth factor, a hormone produced by the liver which is thought to stimulate bone formation and/or inhibit bone resorption.⁶⁶ Conversely, as noted above, high protein intake may increase risk of osteoporosis by increasing urinary calcium excretion. This calciuric effect of protein is primarily attributed to its sulfur amino acid content. The acid produced from the metabolism of sulfur amino acids triggers bone dissolution so that the buffering agents in the skeleton can be utilized.^{67, 68}

In theory, over time, this increased urinary calcium excretion could potentially lead to substantial bone loss. When expressed on mg/g protein basis, proteins differ markedly in their sulfur amino acid concentration. Since legume proteins have a lower concentration of sulfur amino acids than animal proteins, it is not surprising that several studies show that substituting soy protein for animal protein decreases urinary calcium excretion.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹

Results from short term studies suggest replacing one gram animal protein with soy protein may decrease dietary calcium requirements by as much as 10 mg.⁶⁹⁻⁷² This is certainly not an insignificant effect given that roughly 30% of U.S. females consume less than 50% of the recommended dietary allowance.⁷³ However, there is some debate about the long term relevance of the hypercalciuric action of protein on bone health because of the potential for the body to adapt to these effects over time. In addition, the effects of protein may depend to some degree on the amount of calcium consumed. At low calcium intakes, protein may compromise bone health because of its hypercalciuric effects.

When calcium intake is adequate, the bone-building effects of protein may be more important. Finally, a recent unpublished study failed to show differences between meat protein and soy protein on calcium excretion.

Overall, the evidence suggests that both soy protein and soy isoflavones may play a favorable role in bone health. In addition, many soyfoods, such as calcium-set tofu and fortified soymilk are excellent sources of well-absorbed calcium. Nevertheless, long-term studies are needed in order to accurately assess the effects of isoflavone-rich soy protein on bone health.

Cancer.

Soy began to receive widespread attention for its possible anticancer effects in 1990, when the U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI) first expressed interest in this area of research.⁷⁴ The NCI continues to be active in this field, and is currently funding phase I and phase II clinical trials on soy and soybean constituents.⁷⁵ In 1998, the Chemoprevention Branch of the NCI judged genistein, the main isoflavone in soybeans, to be a key chemopreventive agent.⁷⁶

Most of the anticancer research has focused on breast and prostate cancer in large part because of the low mortality rates for these cancers in Asia,⁷⁷ but also because isoflavones have been shown to exert antiestrogenic effects under some experimental conditions.⁷⁸ This offers a possible mechanism for protection against hormone dependent cancers.⁷⁹ However, *in vitro*, genistein inhibits the growth of a wide range of cancer cells including those that are not hormone-dependent. This suggests that the anticancer effects of soy may not be limited to these two cancers.²²

Breast Cancer.

Generally, animal studies indicate that the addition of soy or isoflavones to a standard laboratory diet decreases mammary tumor carcinogenesis by 25%-50%^{80, 81} and tumor growth in rodents implanted with breast cancer cells.⁸² However, in contrast, the epidemiologic data are rather unresponsive of the hypothesis that adult soy intake is protective against breast cancer, especially postmenopausal breast cancer.^{83, 84}

Also, short-term clinical studies that have examined the impact of isoflavones on markers of breast cancer risk, such as serum hormone levels, breast tissue density, nipple aspirate fluid secretion, and pS2 levels, have produced mixed results.⁸⁵

In contrast, there is evidence suggesting that soy intake early in life substantially reduces breast cancer risk later in life. Increasingly, early life events are recognized for having a profound influence on breast cancer risk.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ One theory is that earlier differentiation of stem cells into mature breast cells protects against later breast cancer development since mature cells are less likely to be transformed into cancer cells.⁸⁹ Although still speculative, both animal and epidemiologic studies suggest that soy induces breast stem cell differentiation.

Researchers from the University of Alabama have shown that exposing rats to genistein through the diet or via injection for periods as brief as one week, during the perinatal or prepubertal periods reduces chemically induced mammary cancer by as much as 50% during adulthood.⁹⁰ Furthermore, these researchers have also shown that genistein inhibits mammary cancer when given to adult animals only when first given to these animals when young. Soy protein appears to also have a similar effect as isolated genistein.⁹¹

Two epidemiologic studies support these animal findings. One is a large Chinese case-control study involving approximately 1500 cases and 1500 controls.⁹² Chinese women who consumed on average approximately 11 g of soy protein per day between the ages of 13 and 15 years were 50% less likely to develop breast cancer compared to Chinese women who consumed little soy during adolescence. Soy consumption during adulthood did not affect these results. In a U.S. study involving Asian Americans, Wu et al found that lifelong soy consumption, but not consumption during adulthood, was associated with a one third reduction in breast cancer risk.⁹³ Thus, the key to protection appears to be early soy intake.

Some concerns have been raised about the potential for isoflavones to stimulate the growth of estrogen-receptor positive cancer cells in women with breast cancer. Several organizations have issued cautionary statements. Certainly, this area of research is very controversial but a recent comprehensive review of this subject concluded that the data were insufficient to recommend that women with breast cancer abstain from consuming soy.⁸⁵

Support for this conclusion comes from recent epidemiologic findings and the results from the Women's Health Initiative which suggest that it is the combination of estrogen and progesterone, not estrogen alone, that increases breast cancer risk.^{57, 94} Soy does not possess progesterone activity.⁹⁵

Prostate Cancer.

The American Cancer Society on their web site includes soyfoods consumption as one of seven steps to reduce prostate cancer risk. Also, the International Prostate Health Council Study Group concluded that isoflavones retard the progression of latent prostate cancer, the clinically irrelevant form of this disease, to the more advanced and life-threatening stages of prostate cancer.⁹⁶ Since prostate cancer is largely a disease of older men, and prostate tumors are generally slow growing, delaying tumor onset and/or slowing tumor growth even slightly can have a profound impact on prostate cancer mortality.

Most animal studies show that isoflavone-rich soy protein and isolated isoflavones significantly inhibit chemically induced prostate cancer and cancer initiated by the implantation of prostate cancer cells.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰¹ Few epidemiologic studies examining the impact of soy intake on prostate cancer risk have been conducted and most of these studies have major flaws in methodology because they were not designed primarily to observe the relationship of soy intake to prostate cancer risk.

Two frequently cited prospective studies, one involving Japanese men in Hawaii¹⁰² and the other, Seventh-day Adventists in California,¹⁰³ did find that the consumption of approximately one to two servings of soy/day, tofu in the former and soymilk in the latter, was associated with a 65-70% reduction in the risk of developing prostate cancer. Similarly, in a Chinese case-control study, Lee et al recently found that the odd ratio for prostate cancer for men in the third tertile of tofu intake 0.51 (95% C.I., 0.28-0.95).¹⁰⁴ Certainly, the magnitude of these effects is striking, but in the prospective studies small numbers of men actually developed prostate cancer and none of the studies reported total soy intake.

Four studies have examined the impact of either soy or isoflavones on serum levels of prostate specific antigen (PSA), an indicator of prostate cancer. Three of the four studies found no effects but all were short-term studies.¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷

In contrast, are the results from a six month long pilot study involving 41 prostate cancer patients, all of whom had undergone conventional medical treatment without success.¹⁰⁸ After consuming 120 mg of isolated isoflavones/day (average Japanese intake is about 40 mg/day), the rate of increase in serum PSA levels in 50-70% of the men decreased significantly. The results are certainly encouraging considering this is a group of men in whom an effect could be least likely expected.

The mechanism by which soy might decrease prostate cancer risk is unclear. Several short-term clinical trials have examined the impact of soy on serum testosterone levels, but with only one exception no effect was observed.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ In this one study, serum testosterone levels were decreased but only by about 6%; furthermore, the results were compared to the baseline values, not to the changes in the control group.¹¹² There are many potential non-hormonal mechanisms by which soy can reduce prostate cancer risk.^{113, 114}

Conclusions.

Soyfoods are being intensely investigated for their potential roles in reducing the risk of several chronic diseases. Although like all foods the soybean contains several bioactive compounds, most focus has been on the physiological effects of isoflavones and soy protein. The soybean is essentially a unique natural dietary source of isoflavones. Evidence suggests both soy protein and isoflavones have coronary and skeletal benefits. Most evidence points toward isoflavones as the putative anticarcinogens in soyfoods.¹¹⁵

The FDA concluded that 25 g soy protein per day are needed for cholesterol reduction although there is evidence suggested fewer than 25 g may also be hypocholesterolemic.¹³ However, evidence suggests that a reasonable recommendation for the generally healthy adult to gain the hypothesized health benefits of soy is 15 g soy protein per day and 50 mg isoflavones.¹¹⁶ Approximately two servings of traditional soyfoods provide these amounts of protein and isoflavones. Since processing does cause some loss of isoflavones, Western soy protein products such as isolated soy protein generally have about 1-2 mg isoflavones per gram soy protein. Thus, to get 50 mg isoflavones from Western soyfoods may require consuming more than 15 g soy protein.

References.

1. American Heart Association. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics — 2003 Update. Dallas: National Center.
2. Hodges RE, Krehl WA, Stone DB, Lopez A. Dietary carbohydrates and low cholesterol diets: effects on serum lipids on man. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1967; 20:198-208.
3. Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Cook-Newell ME. Meta-analysis of the effects of soy protein intake on serum lipids. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 333:276-82.
4. Food and Drug Administration. Food labeling, health claims, soy protein, and coronary heart disease. *Fed Reg* 1999; 57:699-733.
5. Erdman JW, Jr. Soy protein and cardiovascular disease: A statement for healthcare professionals from the nutrition committee of the AHA. *Circulation* 2000; 102:2555-9.
6. Weggemans RM, Trautwein EA. Relation between soy-associated isoflavones and LDL and HDL cholesterol concentrations in humans: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003; 57:940-6.
7. Holme I. An analysis of randomized trials evaluating the effect of cholesterol reduction on total mortality and coronary heart disease incidence [published erratum appears in *Circulation* 1991 Dec;84(6):2610-1] [see comments]. *Circulation* 1990; 82:1916-24.
8. Law MR, Wald NJ, Thompson SG. By how much and how quickly does reduction in serum cholesterol concentration lower risk of ischaemic heart disease? *Bmj* 1994; 308:367-72.
9. Law MR, Wald NJ, Wu T, Hackshaw A, Bailey A. Systematic underestimation of association between serum cholesterol concentration and ischaemic heart disease in observational studies: data from the BUPA study. *Bmj* 1994; 308:363-6.
10. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Faulkner D, et al. A dietary portfolio approach to cholesterol reduction: combined effects of plant sterols, vegetable proteins, and viscous fibers in hypercholesterolemia. *Metabolism* 2002; 51:1596-604.
11. Nagata C, Takatsuka N, Kurisu Y, Shimizu H. Decreased serum total cholesterol concentration is associated with high intake of soy products in Japanese men and women. *J Nutr* 1998; 128:209-13.
12. Nagata C, Takatsuka N, Kawakami N, Shimizu H. A prospective cohort study of soy product intake and stomach cancer death. *Br J Cancer* 2002; 87:31-6.
13. Messina M. Potential public health implications of the hypocholesterolemic effects of soy protein. *Nutr* 2003; 19:280-281.
14. Rivas M, Garay RP, Escanero JF, Cia P, Jr., Cia P, Alda JO. Soy milk lowers blood pressure in men and women with mild to moderate essential hypertension. *J Nutr* 2002; 132:1900-2.
15. West SG. Blood pressure and vascular effects of soy: how strong is the evidence? *Current Topics Nutraceutical Res* 2003; 1:17-30.
16. Stamler R. Implications of the INTERSALT study. *Hypertension* 1991; 17:116-20.
17. Kuiper GG, Carlsson B, Grandien K, et al. Comparison of the ligand binding specificity and transcript tissue distribution of estrogen receptors alpha and beta. *Endocrinology* 1997; 138:863-70.
18. Kuiper GG, Lemmen JG, Carlsson B, et al. Interaction of estrogenic chemicals and phytoestrogens with estrogen receptor beta. *Endocrinology* 1998; 139:4252-63.
19. Murphy PA, Song T, Buseman G, et al. Isoflavones in retail and institutional soy foods. *J Agric Food Chem* 1999; 47:2697-704.
20. Brzezinski A, Debi A. Phytoestrogens: the "natural" selective estrogen receptor modulators? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1999; 85:47-51.

21. Setchell KD. Soy isoflavones—benefits and risks from nature’s selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs). *J Am Coll Nutr* 2001; 20:354S-362S.
22. Weber G, Shen F, Yang H, Prajda N, Li W. Regulation of signal transduction activity in normal and cancer cells. *Anticancer Res* 1999; 19:3703-9.
23. Nestel PJ, Pomeroy S, Kay S, et al. Isoflavones from red clover improve systemic arterial compliance but not plasma lipids in menopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; 84:895-8.
24. Nestel PJ, Yamashita T, Sasahara T, et al. Soy isoflavones improve systemic arterial compliance but not plasma lipids in menopausal and perimenopausal women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997; 17:3392-8.
25. Teede HJ, McGrath BP, DeSilva L, Cehun M, Fassoulakis A, Nestel PJ. Isoflavones reduce arterial stiffness: a placebo-controlled study in men and postmenopausal women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2003; 23:1066-71.
26. Tounian P, Aggoun Y, Dubern B, et al. Presence of increased stiffness of the common carotid artery and endothelial dysfunction in severely obese children: a prospective study. *Lancet* 2001; 358:1400-4.
27. Squadrito F, Altavilla D, Morabito N, et al. The effect of the phytoestrogen genistein on plasma nitric oxide concentrations, endothelin-1 levels and endothelium dependent vasodilation in postmenopausal women. *Atherosclerosis* 2002; 163:339-47.
28. Anderson TJ, Uehata A, Gerhard MD, et al. Close relation of endothelial function in the human coronary and peripheral circulations. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995; 26:1235-41.
29. Bonetti PO, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Endothelial dysfunction: a marker of atherosclerotic risk. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2003; 23:168-75.
30. Cuevas AM, Irribarra VL, Castillo OA, Yanez MD, Germain AM. Isolated soy protein improves endothelial function in postmenopausal hypercholesterolemic women. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003; 57:889-894.
31. Blum A, Lang N, Vigder F, et al. Effects of soy protein on endothelium-dependent vasodilatation and lipid profile in postmenopausal women with mild hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Invest Med* 2003; 26:20-6.
32. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Garsetti M, et al. Effect of soy protein foods on low-density lipoprotein oxidation and ex vivo sex hormone receptor activity—a controlled crossover trial. *Metabolism* 2000; 49:537-43.
33. Wiseman H, O’Reilly JD, Adlercreutz H, et al. Isoflavone phytoestrogens consumed in soy decrease F(2)-isoprostane concentrations and increase resistance of low-density lipoprotein to oxidation in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000; 72:395-400.
34. Tikkanen MJ, Wahala K, Ojala S, Vihma V, Adlercreutz H. Effect of soybean phytoestrogen intake on low density lipoprotein oxidation resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998; 95:3106-10.
35. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Connelly PW, et al. Effects of high- and low-isoflavone (phytoestrogen) soy foods on inflammatory biomarkers and proinflammatory cytokines in middle-aged men and women. *Metabolism* 2002; 51:919-924.
36. Schoene NW, Guidry CA. Dietary soy inhibits activation of rat platelets. *J Nutr Biochem* 1999; 10:421-426.
37. Zhang X, Shu XO, Gao YT, et al. Soy food consumption is associated with lower risk of coronary heart disease in chinese women. *J Nutr* 2003; 133:2874-8.
38. Ross PD, Norimatsu H, Davis JW, et al. A comparison of hip fracture incidence among native Japanese, Japanese Americans, and American Caucasians. *Am J Epidemiol* 1991; 133:801-9.

39. Tucci JR. Osteoporosis update. *Med Health R I* 1998; 81:169-173.
40. Bacon WE, Maggi S, Looker A, et al. International comparison of hip fracture rates in 1988-89. *Osteoporos Int* 1996; 6:69-75.
41. De Laet CE, van Hout BA, Burger H, Hofman A, Pols HA. Bone density and risk of hip fracture in men and women: cross sectional analysis. *Bmj* 1997; 315:221-5.
42. Riggs BL, Khosla S, Melton LJ, 3rd. A unitary model for involutional osteoporosis: estrogen deficiency causes both type I and type II osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and contributes to bone loss in aging men [see comments]. *J Bone Miner Res* 1998; 13:763-73.
43. Anderson JJ. The role of nutrition in the functioning of skeletal tissue. *Nutr Rev* 1992; 50:388-94.
44. Law MR, Hackshaw AK. A meta-analysis of cigarette smoking, bone mineral density and risk of hip fracture: recognition of a major effect. *Bmj* 1997; 315:841-6.
45. Lau EM. The epidemiology of hip fracture in Asia: an update. *Osteoporos Int* 1996; 6 Suppl 3:19-23.
46. Messina M, Gugger ET, Alekel DL. Soy protein, soybean isoflavones, and bone health: a review of the animal and human data. In: Wildman R, ed. *Handbook of Nutraceuticals and Functional Foods*. Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC, 2001:77-98.
47. Somekawa Y, Chiguchi M, Ishibashi T, Aso T. Soy intake related to menopausal symptoms, serum lipids, and bone mineral density in postmenopausal Japanese women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001; 97:109-115.
48. Ho SC, Woo J, Lam S, Chen Y, Sham A, Lau J. Soy protein consumption and bone mass in early postmenopausal Chinese women. *Osteoporos Int* 2003.
49. Setchell KD, Lydeking-Olsen E. Dietary phytoestrogens and their effect on bone: evidence from in vitro and in vivo, human observational, and dietary intervention studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003; 78:593S-609S.
50. Thompson DD, Simmons HA, Pirie CM, Ke HZ. FDA guidelines and animal models for osteoporosis. *Bone* 1998; 17:125S-133S.
51. Potter SM. Soy protein and cardiovascular disease: the impact of bioactive components in soy. *Nutr Rev* 1998; 56:231-5.
52. St. Germain A, Peterson CT, Robinson JG, Alekel DL. Isoflavone-rich or isoflavone-poor soy protein does not reduce menopausal symptoms during 24 weeks of treatment. *Menopause* 2001; 8:17-26.
53. Yamori Y, Moriguchi EH, Teramoto T, et al. Soybean isoflavones reduce postmenopausal bone resorption in female Japanese immigrants in Brazil: a ten-week study. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2002; 21:560-3.
54. Chen YM, Ho SC, Lam SS, Ho SS, Woo JL. Soy isoflavones have a favorable effect on bone loss in Chinese postmenopausal women with lower bone mass: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; 88:4740-7.
55. Anderson JJ, Chen X, Boass A, et al. Soy isoflavones: no effects on bone mineral content and bone mineral density in healthy, menstruating young adult women after one year. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2002; 21:388-93.
56. Morabito N, Crisafulli A, Vergara C, et al. Effects of genistein and hormone-replacement therapy on bone loss in early postmenopausal women: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. *J Bone Miner Res* 2002; 17:1904-12.
57. Writing Group for the Women's Health Initiative Investigators. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results From the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *Jama* 2002; 288:321-33.
58. Lydeking-Olsen E, Jensen J-EB, Setchell KDR, Damhus M, Jensen TH. Isoflavone-rich soymilk prevents bone-loss in the lumbar spine of postmenopausal women. A 2 year study. *Journal of Nutrition* 2002; 132:591S.

59. Heaney RP. Calcium, dairy products and osteoporosis. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2000; 19:83S-99S.
60. Feskanich D, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA. Protein consumption and bone fractures in women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1996; 143:472-9.
61. Sellmeyer DE, Stone KL, Sebastian A, Cummings SR. A high ratio of dietary animal to vegetable protein increases the rate of bone loss and the risk of fracture in postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001; 73:118-22.
62. Abelow BJ, Holford TR, Insogna KL. Cross-cultural association between dietary animal protein and hip fracture: a hypothesis. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1992; 50:14-8.
63. Promislow JH, Goodman-Gruen D, Slymen DJ, Barrett-Connor E. Protein consumption and bone mineral density in the elderly : the Rancho Bernardo Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002; 155:636-44.
64. Munger RG, Cerhan JR, Chiu BC. Prospective study of dietary protein intake and risk of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999; 69:147-52.
65. Einhorn TA. The bone organ system: form and function. In: Marcus R, Feldman D, Kelsey J, eds. *Osteoporosis*. San Diego: Academic Press, Inc., 1996:3-22.
66. Allen NE, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Kaaks R, Rinaldi S, Key TJ. The Associations of Diet with Serum Insulin-like Growth Factor I and Its Main Binding Proteins in 292 Women Meat-Eaters, Vegetarians, and Vegans. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; 11:1441-8.
67. Wachman A, Bernstein DS. Diet and osteoporosis. *Lancet* 1968; 1:958-9.
68. Barzel US, Massey LK. Excess dietary protein can adversely affect bone. *J Nutr* 1998; 128:1051-3.
69. Spence LA, Lipscomb ER, Cadogan J, Martin BR, Peacock M, Weaver CM. Effects of soy isoflavones on calcium metabolism in postmenopausal women. *Journal of Nutrition* 2002; 132:581S.
70. Breslau NA, Brinkley L, Hill KD, Pak CY. Relationship of animal protein-rich diet to kidney stone formation and calcium metabolism. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1988; 66:140-6.
71. Anderson JJB, Thomsen K, Christiansen C. High protein meals, insular hormones and urinary calcium excretion in human subjects. In: Christiansen C, Johansen JS, Riis BJ, eds. *Osteoporosis*. Viborg, Denmark.: Nørhaven A/S, 1987:240-245.
72. Nordin BE. Calcium and osteoporosis. *Nutrition* 1997; 13:664-86.
73. Kerstetter JE, O'Brien KO, Insogna KL. Low protein intake: the impact on calcium and bone homeostasis in humans. *J Nutr* 2003; 133:855S-61S.
74. Messina M, Barnes S. The role of soy products in reducing risk of cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991; 83:541-6.
75. Greenwald P. Cancer chemoprevention. *Bmj* 2002; 324:714-8.
76. Lee SK, Song L, Mata-Greenwood E, Kelloff GJ, Steele VE, Pezzuto JM. Modulation of in vitro biomarkers of the carcinogenic process by chemopreventive agents. *Anticancer Res* 1999; 19:35-44.
77. Pisani P, Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J. Estimates of the worldwide mortality from 25 cancers in 1990. *Int J Cancer* 1999; 83:18-29.
78. Folman Y, Pope GS. The interaction in the immature mouse of potent oestrogens with coumestrol, genistein and other utero-vaginitrophic compounds of low potency. *J Endocrinol* 1966; 34:215-25.
79. Morabia A, Costanza MC. International variability in ages at menarche, first livebirth, and menopause. *World Health Organization Collaborative Study of Neoplasia and Steroid Contraceptives* [published erratum appears in *Am J Epidemiol* 1999 Sep 1;150(5):546]. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998; 148:1195-205.

80. Hakkak R, Korourian S, Shelnett SR, Lensing S, Ronis MJ, Badger TM. Diets containing whey proteins or soy protein isolate protect against 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-induced mammary tumors in female rats. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000; 9:113-7.
81. Barnes S, Grubbs C, Setchell KD, Carlson J. Soybeans inhibit mammary tumors in models of breast cancer. *Prog Clin Biol Res* 1990; 347:239-53.
82. Hewitt AL, Singletary KW. Soy extract inhibits mammary adenocarcinoma growth in a syngeneic mouse model. *Cancer Lett* 2003; 192:133-43.
83. Trock B, Butler W, Clarke R, Hilakivi-Clarke L. Meta-analysis of soy intake and breast cancer risk. *J Nutr* 2000; 130:690S-691S (abstr).
84. Peeters PHM, Keinan-Boker L, van der Schouw YT, Grobbee DE. Phytoestrogens and Breast Cancer Risk. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 2003; 77:171-183.
85. Messina MJ, Loprinzi CL. Soy for breast cancer survivors: a critical review of the literature. *J Nutr* 2001; 131:3095S-108S.
86. Hemminki K, Li X, Czene K. Cancer risks in first-generation immigrants to Sweden. *Int J Cancer* 2002; 99:218-28.
87. Hemminki K, Li X. Cancer risks in second-generation immigrants to Sweden. *Int J Cancer* 2002; 99:229-37.
88. McCormack VA, dos Santos Silva I, De Stavola BL, Mohsen R, Leon DA, Lithell HO. Fetal growth and subsequent risk of breast cancer: results from long term follow up of Swedish cohort. *Bmj* 2003; 326:248.
89. Russo J, Lareef H, Tahin Q, Russo IH. Pathways of carcinogenesis and prevention in the human breast. *Eur J Cancer* 2002; 38 Suppl 6:S31-2.
90. Lamartiniere CA, Zhao YX, Fritz WA. Genistein: mammary cancer chemoprevention, in vivo mechanisms of action, potential for toxicity and bioavailability in rats. *J Women's Cancer* 2000; 2:11-19.
91. Badger TM, Ronis MJ, Hakkak R, Rowlands JC, Korourian S. The health consequences of early soy consumption. *J Nutr* 2002; 132:559S-65S.
92. Shu XO, Jin F, Dai Q, et al. Soyfood Intake during Adolescence and Subsequent Risk of Breast Cancer among Chinese Women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2001; 10:483-8.
93. Wu AH, Wan P, Hankin J, Tseng CC, Yu MC, Pike MC. Adolescent and adult soy intake and risk of breast cancer in Asian-Americans. *Carcinogenesis* 2002; 23:1491-6.
94. Pritchard KI. Hormonal replacement therapy in breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2002; 13 Suppl 4:73-80.
95. Zava DT, Dollbaum CM, Blen M. Estrogen and progestin bioactivity of foods, herbs, and spices. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1998; 217:369-78.
96. Griffiths K. Estrogens and prostatic disease. International Prostate Health Council Study Group. *Prostate* 2000; 45:87-100.
97. Pollard M, Luckert PH. Influence of isoflavones in soy protein isolates on development of induced prostate-related cancers in L-W rats. *Nutr Cancer* 1997; 28:41-5.
98. Pollard M, Wolter W. Prevention of spontaneous prostate-related cancer in lobund-wistar rats by a soy protein isolate/isoflavone diet. *Prostate* 2000; 45:101-5.
99. Pollard M, Wolter W, Sun L. Prevention of induced prostate-related cancer by soy protein isolate/isoflavone-supplemented diet in Lobund-Wistar rats. *In Vivo* 2000; 14:389-92.
100. Zhou JR, Gugger ET, Tanaka T, Guo Y, Blackburn GL, Clinton SK. Soybean phytochemicals inhibit the growth of transplantable human prostate carcinoma and tumor angiogenesis in mice. *J Nutr* 1999; 129:1628-35.

101. Zhou JR, Yu L, Zhong Y, Blackburn GL. Soy phytochemicals and tea bioactive components synergistically inhibit androgen-sensitive human prostate tumors in mice. *J Nutr* 2003; 133:516-21.
102. Severson RK, Nomura AM, Grove JS, Stemmermann GN. A prospective study of demographics, diet, and prostate cancer among men of Japanese ancestry in Hawaii. *Cancer Res* 1989; 49:1857-60.
103. Jacobsen BK, Knutsen SF, Fraser GE. Does high soy milk intake reduce prostate cancer incidence? The Adventist Health Study (United States) [see comments]. *Cancer Causes Control* 1998; 9:553-7.
104. Lee MM, Gomez SL, Chang JS, Wey M, Wang RT, Hsing AW. Soy and isoflavone consumption in relation to prostate cancer risk in china. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003; 12:665-8.
105. Urban D, Irwin W, Kirk M, et al. The Effect of Isolated Soy Protein on Plasma Biomarkers in Elderly Men with Elevated Serum Prostate Specific Antigen. *J Urol* 2001; 165:294-300.
106. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, D'Costa MA, et al. Soy consumption and phytoestrogens: effect on serum prostate specific antigen when blood lipids and oxidized low-density lipoprotein are reduced in hyperlipidemic men. *J Urol* 2003; 169:507-11.
107. Jarred RA, Keikha M, Dowling C, et al. Induction of Apoptosis in Low to Moderate-Grade Human Prostate Carcinoma by Red Clover-derived Dietary Isoflavones. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; 11:1689-96.
108. Hussain M, Sarkar FH, Djuric Z, et al. Soy isoflavones in the treatment of prostate cancer. *J Nutr* 2002; 132:575S-576S.
109. Habito RC, Montalto J, Leslie E, Ball MJ. Effects of replacing meat with soyabean in the diet on sex hormone concentrations in healthy adult males. *Br J Nutr* 2000; 84:557-63.
110. Mitchell JH, Cawood E, Kinniburgh D, Provan A, Collins AR, Irvine DS. Effect of a phytoestrogen food supplement on reproductive health in normal males. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2001; 100:613-8.
111. Higashi K, Abata S, Iwamoto N, et al. Effects of soy protein on levels of remnant-like particles cholesterol and vitamin E in healthy men. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 2001; 47:283-8.
112. Gardner-Thorpe D, O'Hagen C, Young I, Lewis SJ. Dietary supplements of soya flour lower serum testosterone concentrations and improve markers of oxidative stress in men. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003; 57:100-6.
113. Constantinou A, Huberman E. Genistein as an inducer of tumor cell differentiation: possible mechanisms of action. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1995; 208:109-15.
114. Messina M. Emerging evidence on the role of soy in reducing prostate cancer risk. *Nutr Rev* 2003; 61:117-131.
115. Messina M, Flickinger B. Hypothesized anticancer effects of soy: evidence points toward isoflavones as the primary anticarcinogens. *Pharmaceutical Biology* 2002; 40:6-23S.
116. Messina M, Messina V. Provisional Recommended Soy Protein and Isoflavone Intakes for Healthy Adults: Rationale. *Nutr Today* 2003; 38:100-109.

About the Author:



Dr. Messina is an adjunct associate professor at Loma Linda University and a senior editor of a monthly consumer newsletter published by Loma Linda University entitled *Vegetarian Nutrition and Health Letter*. He is also the co-owner of Nutrition Matters, Inc., a nutrition consulting company. Dr. Messina is a former program director with the National Cancer Institute (NCI). While at the NCI he initiated a \$3 million research program on the anticancer effects of soy. Since leaving the NCI Dr. Messina has devoted his time primarily to the study of the health effects of soyfoods. He writes extensively on this subject, having published more than 35 articles and book chapters for health professionals, and has given more than 325 presentations to both consumer and professional groups throughout the United States and in 29 countries. Dr. Messina is the chairperson of the editorial advisory board of, and writes a regular column for *The Soy Connection*, a quarterly newsletter that reaches over 100,000 dietitians and other health professionals. He has also organized and chaired all five international symposia on the role of soy in preventing and treating chronic disease.